

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/617,603	DAUTELLE, JEAN-MARIE R.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tanh Q. Nguyen	2182	

**All Participants:**

(1) Tanh Q. Nguyen.

(2) Kermit Robinson (Reg. No. 48,734).

**Status of Application: 71**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 September 2006

**Time:** various

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
101/112 rejections

Claims discussed:  
1, 23, 37

Prior art documents discussed:  
None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 September 1, 2006  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner contacted Mr. Robinson, the attorney for applicant, to propose amendments to claims 1, 23, 37 to clarify the scope of the claims, address 101/112 issues in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Robinson is receptive to the proposed amendment, but prefers to receive an office action to consider the examiner's response.

TQN  
September 1, 2006